MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

PINE CREEK MEDICAL CENTER 9032 HARRY HINES BLVD DALLAS TX 75235-1720

Respondent Name Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Texas Mutual Insurance Co Box Number 54

MFDR Tracking Number MFDR Date Received

M4-12-0850-01 November 14, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Provider billed for bilateral facet injections, carrier reimbursed only one unit per level. Claim should be paid according to Medicare payment policies and reimbursement guidelines for bilateral procedures at 150% of the fee schedule amount for a single code."

Amount in Dispute: \$627.57

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Texas Mutual paid the correct amounts for both the disputed codes. No additional payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 30, 2011	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$627.57	\$627.57

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated September 28, 2011

- CAC-W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
- CAC 18 DUPLICATE CLAIM/SERVICE

- 224 DUPLICATE CHARGE
- 370 THIS HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE APC RATE, PLUS A MARKUP
- 737 MODIFIER IS NOT APPLICABLE TO DWC SPECIFIC CODES
- 767 REIMBURSED PER O-P FG AT 200%, SEPARATE REIMBURSEMENT FOR IMPLANTABLES (INCLUDING CERTIFICATION) NOT REQUESTED.

Explanation Of Benefits Dated October 21, 2011

- CAC-85 COVERAGE/PROGRAM GUIDELINES WERE NOT MET OR WERE EXCEEDED.
- CAC-W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
- CAC-W3 ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION
- CAC-131 CLAIM SPECIFIC NEGOTIATED DISCOUNT.
- CAC-193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS
 DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- CAC-59 PROCESSED BASED ON MULTIPLE OR CONCURRENT PROCEDURE RULES.
- 370 THIS HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE APC RATE, PLUS A MARKUP.
- 615 PAYMENT FOR THIS SERVICE HAS BEEN REDUCED ACCORDING TO THE MEDICARE MULTIPLE SURGERY GUIDELINES.
- 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS PACKAGED INTO THE PAYMENT OF OTHER SERVICES
 PERFORMED ON THE SAME DATE OF SERVICE.
- 723 SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT ALLOWED AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES.
- 724 NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES.

Issues

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables is not applicable.
- 2. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 77003 is unbundled. This procedure is a component service of procedure code 64493
 performed on the same date. Payment for this service is included in the payment for the primary procedure.
 A modifier is not allowed. Separate payment is not recommended.
 - Procedure code 64493 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0207, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$522.67. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$313.60. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9716 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$304.69. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$209.07. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$513.76. The provider billed this service

with modifier 50. Bilateral procedures are paid at the rate for two units. The highest paying status indicator T procedure is paid at 100% for the first unit; each additional T procedure unit is paid at 50%. The APC amount is \$770.64. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$770.64. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$1,541.28.

- Procedure code 64494 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 0204, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$183.78. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$110.27. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9716 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$107.14. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$73.51. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$180.65. The provider billed this service with modifier 50. Bilateral procedures are paid at the rate for two units. The highest paying status indicator T procedure is paid at 100% for the first unit; each additional T procedure unit is paid at 50%. The APC amount is \$180.65. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$180.65. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$361.30.
- 3. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$1,902.58. The amount previously paid by the insurance carrier is \$1,184.69. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$627.57. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$627.57.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$627.57, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

	Auth	orized	Sian	ature
--	------	--------	------	-------

		April 16, 2013	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.